

**Hastings Technology Metals Ltd** 





#### **DOCUMENT TRACKING**

Project Name	Onslow Rare Earth Plant Detailed Fauna Assessment
Project Number	19046
Project Manager	
Prepared by	
Reviewed by	
Approved by	
Status	Final
Version Number	V2
Last saved on	4 November 2021

This report should be cited as 'Eco Logical Australia 2021. *Onslow Rare Earth Plant Detailed Fauna Assessment*. Prepared for Hastings Technology Metals Ltd.'

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This document has been prepared by Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd with support from

#### Disclaimer

This document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the contract between Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd and Hastings Technology Metals Ltd. The scope of services was defined in consultation with Hastings Technology Metals Ltd, by time and budgetary constraints imposed by the client, and the availability of reports and other data on the subject area. Changes to available information, legislation and schedules are made on an ongoing basis and readers should obtain up to date information. Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd accepts no liability or responsibility whatsoever for or in respect of any use of or reliance upon this report and its supporting material by any third party. Information provided is not intended to be a substitute for site specific assessment or legal advice in relation to any matter. Unauthorised use of this report in any form is prohibited.

Template 2.8.1

#### Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Project background	
1.2. Scope of works	
2. Environmental setting	3
2.1. Regional context	
3. Methodology	
3.1. Desktop review	4
3.2. Field survey	
3.2.1. Survey team and timing	4
3.2.2. Animal ethics	
3.2.3. Habitat assessment and site selection	
3.2.4. Sampling methods	
3.2.5. Fauna identification and nomenclature	6
3.3. Limitations	
4. Results	10
4.1. Desktop review	10
4.1.1. Conservation significant fauna	10
4.2. Fauna survey	11
4.2.1. Fauna habitats	1
4.2.2. Fauna species	13
4.2.3. Conservation significant fauna	13
5. Discussion	1
6. References	17
Appendix A Framework for conservation significant flora and fauna ranking	20
Appendix B Fauna trapping site photos	24
Appendix C Fauna trap locations	20
Appendix D Fauna species list	28
Appendix E Raw trapping data	48
List of Figures	
Figure 1: The project area	
Figure 2: Survey effort	8
Figure 3: Fauna habitats recorded within the project area	14

#### List of Tables

Table 1: Environmental values of the region	3
Table 2: Survey team	
Table 3: Trapping nights	
Table 4: Survey limitations	
Table 5: Fauna habitats in the project area	

#### **Abbreviations**

Abbreviation	Description
ANSIA	Ashburton North Strategic Industrial Area
BAM Act	State Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007
ВоМ	Bureau of Meteorology
DAWE	Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment
DBCA	Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions
DEC	Department of Environment and Conservation
DPIRD	Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development
ELA	Eco Logical Australia
EN	Endangered
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority
EPBC Act	Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act 1999
IBRA	Interim Biogeographical Regionalisation for Australia
MI	Migratory
Р	Priority
TSSC	Threatened Species Scientific Committee
VU	Vulnerable
WAM	Western Australian Museum

#### **Executive Summary**

Eco Logical Australia was engaged by Hastings Technology Metals Ltd to undertake a Detailed fauna assessment within Lot 502 of the Ashburton North Strategic Industrial Area. The current project area is 213.9 ha and located 15 km south-west of Onslow, Western Australia. It includes BCE (2021; 80.5 ha) and Ecoscape (2019; 30.1 ha) survey areas and includes an unsurveyed extrapolation area.

The field survey was conducted from 21 to 28 June 2021 in accordance with the Environmental Protection Authority *Technical Guidance: Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment* 2020. BCE (2021) fauna habitat mapping and aerial imagery was examined prior to the survey to select indicative locations for fauna trapping sites, to ensure that sites were placed across each fauna habitat type. The survey was undertaken using a variety of sampling techniques, both systematic and opportunistic. A total of two trapping sites were established over seven nights.

A total of three vegetation and substrate associations (VSAs) or fauna habitat types, defined by BCE (2021), were delineated and mapped within the BCE (2021) survey area. During the survey, three fauna habitat types (defined by BCE [2021]) were found to extend into the extrapolation area. The BCE (2021) survey area and extrapolation area had comparable fauna habitat types to those delineated and mapped within the Ecoscape (2019) survey area. Approximately 50% of the project area was made up of a dune habitat type (either 'VSA1: Undulating dunes' defined by BCE [2021] or 'Red sandy dunes' defined by Ecoscape [2019]).

During the survey, a total of 66 vertebrate fauna species were recorded in the project area, including two amphibians, six reptiles, 51 birds, and seven mammals. Introduced fauna species recorded comprised of; cat (*Felis catus*), Dog/Dingo (*Canis familiaris familiaris/ Canis familiaris dingo*) and European cattle (*Bos primigenius taurus*).

No conservation significant fauna listed under the Commonwealth *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act 1999* (EPBC Act) or State *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act), or by Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) were observed during the field survey. A total of five conservation significant species were identified in a likelihood assessment by BCE (2021) and assessed to be potentially resident or regular visitors:

- Pilbara Olive Python (*Liasis olivaceus barroni*; Vulnerable under the EPBC Act and BC Act) –
  assessed to be a regular visitor;
- Barn Swallow (Hirundo rustica; Migratory under the EPBC Act and BC Act) assessed to be a regular visitor;
- Lerista planiventralis maryani (Priority 1 by DBCA) assessed to be resident;
- Brush-tailed Mulgara (*Dasycercus blythi*; Priority 4 by DBCA) assessed to be a regular visitor;
   and
- Short-tailed mouse (Leggadina lakedownensis; Priority 4 by DBCA) assessed to be resident.

Ecoscape (2019) recorded two conservation significant vertebrate fauna species:

Common Sandpiper (Actitis hypoleucos; listed as Migratory under the EPBC Act and BC Act) –
observed in Quick Mud Creek; and

• Gull-billed Tern (*Gelochelidon nilotica*; listed as Migratory under the EPBC Act and BC Act) – flying over salt marsh habitat.

In addition to the above, 12 migratory bird species and one mammal species (Northern Quoll [Dasyurus hallucatus]; listed as Endangered under the EPBC Act and BC Act) were determined by Ecoscape (2019) to have a high likelihood of occurrence. The likelihood of occurrence of the Night Parrot (Pezoporus occidentalis; listed as Endangered under the EPBC Act and Critically Endangered under the BC Act) could not be determined as there was a lack of records within the region (Ecoscape 2019).

This survey assessed the Short-tailed mouse and *Lerista planiventralis maryani* as likely to utilise the project area, however the habitat types they would occur in (i.e., specifically VSA1: Undulating dunes and VSA2: Sandy loam flats) are not restricted to the project area. The Northern Quoll could potentially utilise all three fauna habitat types in the project area for foraging and traversing, and termite mounds for denning purposes. This closest record of this species is 7 km west of the project area from 2013 (DBCA 2007-2021). Based on previous record locations and habitat within the project area, the Brushtailed mulgara and Pilbara Olive Python are considered unlikely to utilise the project area, but rather occasionally pass through. There are no recent or historical records of Night Parrot in the Onslow area, despite multiple past surveys, so this species is considered unlikely to occur in the project area. The Barn Swallow and other Migratory birds may fly over the project area but not solely rely on it.

#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Project background

Hastings Technology Metals Ltd (Hastings) is looking to develop a hydrometallurgical processing plant located at the Onslow Rare Earths Plant within Lot 502 of the Ashburton North Strategic Industrial Area (ANSIA). Extensive fauna assessments have been undertaken across the region, including a Basic fauna survey recently conducted by BCE (2021) over 289.2 ha and fauna habitat mapping undertaken by Ecoscape (2019) over 729.66 ha.


The current project area is 213.9 ha and located 15 km south-west of Onslow, Western Australia. It includes BCE (2021; 80.5 ha) and Ecoscape (2019; 30.1 ha) survey areas and includes an unsurveyed extrapolation area (Figure 1; 103.3 ha).

Eco Logical Australia (ELA) was engaged by Hastings to undertake a Detailed fauna assessment within the project area.

#### 1.2. Scope of works

The purpose of this report is to provide an assessment of the environmental values of the project area to support the environmental assessment and approvals process. The scope of works specifically included:

- An assessment of vertebrate fauna in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) Technical Guidance: Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment (EPA 2020);
- Extrapolate BCE (2021) fauna habitat mapping into the unsurveyed extrapolation area;
- Compilation of a list of vertebrate fauna species recorded during the field survey via trapping, direct or indirect observations within the project area; and
- Record any conservation listed fauna species (Threatened species listed under the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act 1999 [EPBC Act] or State Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 [BC Act] or Priority listed by Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions [DBCA]) within the project area.



#### 2. Environmental setting

#### 2.1. Regional context

BCE (2021) undertook a review of background environmental information. Broad environmental values for the region relevant to the project area are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Environmental values of the region

Existing environmental attributes	Project area
Interim Biogeographical Regionalisation for Australia (IBRA) Bioregion (DAWE 2021, Kendrick and Mau 2002)	Cape Range (CAR01) subregion of the Carnarvon bioregion – consists of a mosaic of saline alluvial plains with samphire and saltbush low shrublands, Bowgada low woodland on sandy ridges and plains, Snakewood scrub on clay flats, and tree to shrub steppe over hummock grasslands on and between red sand dune fields.
Geology, landform and soils (DPIRD 2021)	Dune land system - Dune fields supporting soft spinifex grasslands.  Onslow Land System — Undulating sandplains, dunes and level clay plains supporting soft spinifex grasslands and minor tussock grasslands.
Pre-European vegetation mapping (DBCA 2019, DPIRD 2019)	Cape Yannare Coastal Plain 670 - Hummock grassland with scattered shrubs or mallee Triodia spp. Acacia spp., Grevillea spp. Eucalyptus spp. (99.99% remaining in CAR01).
Sensitive sites	There are no known RAMSAR sites, Important Wetlands, Threatened Ecological Communities, Bush Forever Sites, Key Biodiversity Areas or Environmental Sensitive Areas within the project area.

#### 3. Methodology

#### 3.1. Desktop review

BCE (2021) undertook an extensive desktop review of database searches and literature to determine environmental values relating to the survey area. BCE (2021) included four study sites (289.2 ha), including one overlapping the current project area (BCE [2021] survey area; Figure 1).

An additional previous survey was added to the BCE (2021) literature review list for this report; *Onslow Reconnaissance Flora and Fauna Surveys – Dry Season* (Ecoscape 2019). Ecoscape (2019) overlapped the eastern section of the project area (Ecoscape [2019] survey area; Figure 1).

#### 3.2. Field survey

#### 3.2.1. Survey team and timing

The Detailed fauna survey was conducted by Briana Wingfield (Ecologist) and Maitland Ely (Graduate Ecologist) from 21<sup>st</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> June 2021. The survey team's relevant qualifications and experience are provided in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Survey team

Name	Qualification	Relevant experience
Briana Wingfield	BSc. Conservation and Wildlife Biology and Environmental Science (Hons)	Briana has over eight years' experience conducting fauna surveys across Western Australia, including five years specifically in the Pilbara region conducting Detailed and Targeted fauna surveys.
Maitland Ely	BSc Conservation Biology and Botany	Maitland is a graduate ecologist with experience assisting a trapping survey on the Swan Coastal Plain and in South Australia.

Rainfall from the nearby Onslow Airport weather station (station number 005017, located approximately 12 km north-east of the project area) recorded a total of 6.6 mm during the current survey, with 6.4 mm recorded on the first day of the survey (BoM 2021). In the three months prior to the survey (March to May), a total of 218 mm of rainfall was recorded. This is more than the long-term average for the same time period (130.5 mm; BoM 2021).

#### 3.2.2. Animal ethics

The Detailed fauna survey was conducted as per EPA (2020), DBCA authorisation to take or disturb threatened species (authorisation number: TFA 2021-0070) under Section 40 of the State *Biodiversity Conservation Act* (BC Act) 2016, fauna taking (biological assessment) licence under Regulation 27 (BA27000452) of the Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2018 and the following Standard Operating Procedures:

- Aluminium box traps for capture of terrestrial vertebrates (DEC 2018a);
- Cage traps for live capture of terrestrial vertebrates (DEC 2018b);
- Dry pitfall trapping for vertebrates (DEC 2018c);
- Funnel trapping for terrestrial fauna (DEC 2018d); and
- Animal handling and restraint using soft containment (DEC 2017).

Under these procedures, a set of methods and conditions were adhered to in order to minimise harm or stress to fauna. Animal ethics considerations focus on the following:

- Minimising the duration of time that fauna are in traps by closing the traps during the day;
- Minimising trapped fauna exposure to harmful environmental conditions (i.e. heat and cold);
- Minimising the risk of introducing or spreading known fauna pathogens between populations;
- Appropriate and minimal animal handling; and
- Appropriate release conditions.

All vertebrate fauna captured during the fauna survey were identified in the field and released at the point of capture.

#### 3.2.3. Habitat assessment and site selection

BCE (2021) fauna habitat mapping and aerial imagery was examined prior to the current survey to select indicative locations for fauna trapping sites, to ensure that sites were placed across each fauna habitat type. Trap placement to ensure traps were concealed from public view was also taken into consideration. The location of the fauna trapping sites is provided in Figure 2 and Appendix B.

Additional fauna habitat mapping was undertaken by walking throughout the extrapolation area, taking photographs and habitat notes. Fauna habitat mapping was extrapolated from BCE (2021). The northeast corner of the project area was mapped by Ecoscape (2019).

#### 3.2.4. Sampling methods

The fauna survey was undertaken using a variety of sampling techniques, both systematic and opportunistic. Systematic sampling refers to data methodically collected over a fixed time period in a discrete habitat type or location, using an equal or standardised sampling effort across multiple sample locations (EPA 2020). This approach provides a range of detection methods that cover the full suite of vertebrate fauna assemblages. Opportunistic sampling includes data collected non-systematically from both fixed sampling sites and as opportunistic records from chance encounters with fauna. This method generally accounts for the majority of bird species.

#### 3.2.4.1. *Trapping*

A total of two trapping sites were established over seven nights. At each trapping site, the following were established (trap nights presented in Table 3):

- Ten 20 litre pit traps set approximately 20 m intervals and set with flywire mesh drift fence;
- Ten funnel traps set approximately 20 m intervals and set with flywire mesh drift fence;
- Twenty-three (23) small aluminium box traps set approximately 10-20 m intervals;
- Two cage traps set approximately 10-20 m intervals;
- One Song Meter SM2 ultrasonic recorder; and
- One motion camera.

All small aluminium box traps, cage traps and motion camera traps were set with universal bait made from a mixture of rolled oats, peanut butter and sardines.

#### 3.2.4.2. Spotlighting

Nocturnal survey involves the use of head torches to detect active nocturnal fauna by eye shine or movement. Searching was conducted by traversing the project area, particularly focusing on termite mounds. The nocturnal survey occurred on 22 June and 27 June from approximately 19:00 to 20:30.

#### 3.2.4.3. Observation

Bird surveys were undertaken during peak activity periods, namely after dawn. Birds were identified by visual detection and by call within an approximately 20 m radius of each trapping site. Birds are less active in wet, windy and extremely hot conditions.

Opportunistic observations were made at all times during the field survey. Calls, tracks, diggings, scats, dens, burrows and other signs of fauna activity were recorded where observed.

Active searches involved the use of hand rakes to search within microhabitats for inactive reptiles. Search locations included beneath leaf litter, logs and other ground debris.

#### 3.2.4.4. Acoustic surveys – ultrasonic calls

A SM2 recorder was established at both trapping sites and opportunistic sites in representative habitat. Specialist software is able to visualise ultrasonic bat echolocation calls recorded on the SM2 into corresponding graphical representation for analysis. Most bat species have a unique call which appears as a 'fingerprint' graph output. Bat calls were analysed by comparing recorded calls with reference calls by Bob Bullen at Bat Call WA, who specialises in bat survey and identification.

#### 3.2.4.5. Camera traps

A camera trap was established at both trapping sites and opportunistic sites in representative habitat. A camera trap is a digital camera that captures an image or video using an infrared sensor when an animal moves into a detection zone. Bait stations filled with universal bait was used to attract fauna to the camera traps.

#### 3.2.5. Fauna identification and nomenclature


The following field guides were used to identify vertebrate fauna species during the current survey:

- Amphibians: Sanders (2021);
- Reptiles: Wilson and Swan (2021);
- Birds: Morcombe (2020); and
- Mammals: Van Dyck et. al. (2012).

Nomenclature used for the vertebrate fauna species within this report follows the *Checklist of the Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna of Western Australia* (WAM 2021) which was updated in June 2021.

Table 3: Trapping nights

Camera traps	1	1	4	9
Acoustic surveys – ultrasonic calls	1	1	4	9
Observation (e.g. bird surveys, active searching, opportunistic)	Yes	Yes	Yes	ı
Spotlighting	Yes	Yes	Yes	r
Cage traps	14	14	•	28
Small aluminium box traps	161	161	ı	322
Funnel traps	70	70	ı	140
Pit traps	70	70	ı	140
Trap line	ELA01	ELA02	Opportunistic	Total



#### 3.3. Limitations

EPA (2020) recommends the discussion of constraints and limitations of the survey methods used. Constraints and limitations of the Detailed fauna survey for the project area are summarised in Table 4. No survey constraints were identified.

**Table 4: Survey limitations** 

Constraint	Limitations
Sources of information	Not a constraint  The Carnarvon bioregion has been well surveyed. A number of flora and fauna surveys have been undertaken in and surrounding the project area (see BCE [2021] literature review) which have been utilised for this survey.  Broad-scale vegetation mapping at a scale of 1:1,000,000 was available. Land system mapping at a scale of 1:2,000,000 and soil and landform mapping was also available.
Scope of work	Not a constraint  The survey requirement for a Detailed fauna survey in accordance with the EPA (2020) recommends at least two survey phases appropriate to the bioregion. This survey was only undertaken over one-phase, June (winter), however given the previous surveys in and around the project area (see BCE [2021] literature review) including four trapping surveys, the fauna assemblage is well understood.
Completeness of survey	Not a constraint  The area was surveyed to the satisfaction of the scope of works e.g. over seven trapping nights.
Intensity of survey	Not a constraint  Survey effort was considered adequate to meet objectives of the scope of works. Two trapping sites was considered appropriate to cover the fauna habitats types present within the 213.9 ha project area.
Timing, weather, season, cycle	Not a constraint  The project area falls into the Eremaean climatic region based on Beard (1980) botanical provinces, and fauna activity is closely linked with the seasons in this region. Recommended survey timing for amphibians and birds is immediately after significant rain events, which occurred prior to the current survey, and for reptiles, September to April. However, as mentioned above, given the previous surveys in and around the project area (see BCE [2021] literature review) including four trapping surveys, the fauna assemblage is well understood. The rainfall in the three months prior to the survey was more than the long-term average.
Disturbances	Not a constraint  Disturbances within the project area included the presence of a major road, weeds and gas pipeline. These disturbances did not negatively impact the ability to meet objectives outlined in the scope of works.
Resources	Not a constraint  The more senior personnel conducting this field survey (Briana Wingfield) was suitably qualified to identify specimens, having over eight years' experience, namely in the Pilbara region.
Accessibility	Not a constraint  All relevant areas of the project area were easily accessed and able to be surveyed.

#### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Desktop review

#### 4.1.1. Conservation significant fauna

A desktop review was undertaken in BCE (2021) to identify conservation significant fauna species recorded within, or nearby to, their four study sites (current and historic). No conservation significant fauna species were recorded in the Basic survey undertaken by BCE (2021) on the 30 September and 1 October 2020. A total of 41 conservation significant vertebrate fauna species were determined by BCE (2021) as possibly occurring, with five species identified as resident or regular visitors:

- Pilbara Olive Python (*Liasis olivaceus barroni*; Vulnerable [VU] under the EPBC Act and BC Act) assessed to be a regular visitor;
- Barn Swallow (*Hirundo rustica*; Migratory [MI] under the EPBC Act and BC Act) assessed to be a regular visitor;
- Lerista planiventralis maryani (Priority [P]1 by DBCA) assessed to be resident;
- Brush-tailed Mulgara (Dasycercus blythi; P4 by DBCA) assessed to be a regular visitor; and
- Short-tailed mouse (Leggadina lakedownensis; P4 by DBCA) assessed to be resident.

Ecoscape (2019) recorded two conservation significant vertebrate fauna species:

- Common Sandpiper (*Actitis hypoleucos*; listed as MI under the EPBC Act and BC Act) observed in Quick Mud Creek; and
- Gull-billed Tern (*Gelochelidon nilotica*; listed as MI under the EPBC Act and BC Act) flying over salt marsh habitat.

In addition to the above, 12 migratory bird species and one mammal species (Northern Quoll [Dasyurus hallucatus]; listed as Endangered [EN] under the EPBC Act and BC Act) were determined by Ecoscape (2019) to have a high likelihood of occurrence. The likelihood of occurrence of the Night Parrot (Pezoporus occidentalis; listed as EN under the EPBC Act and Critically Endangered under the BC Act) could not be determined as there was a lack of records within the region (Ecoscape 2019).

The framework for conservation significant fauna ranking is presented in Appendix A.

#### 4.2. Fauna survey

The following sections discuss the fauna values of the project area.

#### 4.2.1. Fauna habitats

A total of three vegetation and substrate associations (VSAs) or fauna habitat types, defined by BCE (2021), were delineated and mapped within the BCE (2021) survey area (Table 5; Figure 3). During the current survey, three fauna habitat types (defined by BCE [2021]) were found to extend into the extrapolation area (Figure 3). The BCE (2021) survey area and extrapolation area had comparable fauna habitat types to those delineated and mapped within the Ecoscape (2019) survey area.

Two trapping sites were established across the three fauna habitat types defined by BCE [2021]. Site photos presented in Appendix B and coordinates of sampling effort presented in Appendix C.

Approximately 50% of the project area comprised a dune habitat type (either 'VSA1: Undulating dunes' defined by BCE [2021] or 'Red sandy dunes' defined by Ecoscape [2019]). Approximately 8% of the project area comprised claypans (either 'VSA3: Claypans' defined by BCE [2021] or 'Clay flats' and 'Seasonally inundated flats' defined by Ecoscape [2019]); these areas were mostly associated with Quick Mud Creek.

Table 5: Fauna habitats in the project area

				Project area		
Fauna habitats	Description	Trapping sites	Extent within the extrapolation area (ha)	Extent within the Bamford (2021) survey area (ha)	Extent within the Ecoscape (2019) survey area (ha)	Total (ha)
	BCE (2021) and ELA (2021)	ELA (2021)				
VSA 1: Undulating dunes	Undulating sandy dunes with scattered shrubs (Acacia and Hakea) over spinifex on sand and sandy loam in valleys.	Trapping site 1	31	62.5	N/A	93.5
VSA 2: Sandy loam flats with termite mounds	Sandy loam flats tending towards clay with some chenopod shrubs, and a few areas with termite mounds.	Trapping site 1 & 2	50.9	17	N/A	67.9
VSA 3: Claypans	Claypans; mostly bare ground of clayey loam subject to inundation. Some areas also have chenopod shrubs.	Trapping site 2	7.4	1	N/A	8.4
		Cleared	14	0	N/A	14
	Ecoscape (2019)	2019)				
Hummock grassland	Triodia epactia grassland with sparsely scattered Acacia spp. shrubs and termitaria. Substrate varies in clay content ranging from firm to moderately loose.	N/A for this survey scope	N/A	N/A	10.1	10.1
Red sandy dunes	Sparse Acacia and Grevillea shrub species over <i>Scaevola sericophylla,</i> Adriana tomentosa and Triodia spp. hummocks on loose red sands.	N/A for this survey scope	N/A	N/A	6.8	6.8
Seasonally inundated flats/intertidal areas	Permanently and seasonally inundated areas with minimal vegetation, occasionally samphires and chenopods. Substrate is composed of silts and clays often with a high salt content. Habitat type includes both intertidal areas and unvegetated clay pans.	N/A for this survey scope	N/A	N/A	2.1	2.1
Clay flats	Samphires ( <i>Tecticornia</i> spp.) with low tussock grasses and Chenopods on firm clay substrate.	N/A for this survey scope	N/A	N/A	5.7	5.7
		Cleared	N/A	N/A	5.4	5.4
		Total	103.3	80.5	30.1	213.9

12

#### 4.2.2. Fauna species

A total of 66 vertebrate fauna species were recorded during the current survey in the project area, including two amphibians, six reptiles, 51 birds, and seven mammals. The consolidated vertebrate fauna list (including previous surveys) is provided in Appendix D and raw trapping data is provided in Appendix E.

#### 4.2.2.1. Mammals

Seven mammal species were recorded in the project area during the current survey, comprising four native species and three introduced species. The three introduced species included; cat (*Felis catus*), recorded on motion camera at Quick Mud Creek, Dog/Dingo (*Canis familiaris familiaris/ Canis familiaris dingo*), recorded by tracks, and European cattle (*Bos primigenius taurus*), recorded from scats in the project area.

The Lesser Hairy-footed Dunnart (*Sminthopsis youngsoni*) was recorded at both trapping site 1 and 2 in a pitfall trap. The SM2 recorded two bat species; Yellow-bellied Sheath-tailed Bat (*Saccolaimus flaviventris*) and Greater Northern Free-tailed Bat (*Chaerephon jobensis colonicus*).

#### 4.2.2.2. Birds

A total of 51 bird species were recorded in the project area during the current survey, during the daily bird census at each trapping site and opportunistically. Birds recorded during the current survey include birds of prey, nectar feeders and large omnivorous species. Budgerigars (*Melopsittacus undulatus*) were the most commonly observed birds across the project area.

#### 4.2.2.3. Reptiles

Six reptile species were recorded in the project area during the current survey, comprising one snake, one gecko and four skinks. The majority of the reptile specimens were considered to be in good physical condition.


Reptile captures were greater in pitfalls (78.57%) compared to funnel traps (21.43%). The most commonly occurring species trapped across the project area was *Ctenotus hanloni*.

#### 4.2.2.4. Amphibians

Two amphibians were recorded in the project area during the current survey; Northern Burrowing Frog (*Neobatrachus aquilonius*) and Desert Spadefoot (*Notaden nichollsi*). These frogs were recorded on the first trapping night and seventh trapping night after overnight rainfall.

#### 4.2.3. Conservation significant fauna

No conservation significant fauna listed under the EPBC Act or BC Act, or by DBCA were observed during the current survey. Possibly occurring conservation significant fauna, identified in the desktop review, is presented in Section 4.1.1.



# within the project area Figure 3: Fauna habitats recorded



Datum/Projection: GDA 1994 MGA Zone 50

Project: 19046-GM Date: 28/07/2021





#### 5. Discussion

A total of three fauna habitat types, defined by BCE (2021), were delineated and mapped within the BCE (2021) survey area and extrapolation area. These survey areas have comparable fauna habitat types to those delineated and mapped within the Ecoscape (2021) survey area. All habitat types are known to extend into the surrounding ANSIA area (Bamford 2021, Ecoscape 2019) suggesting these habitats are likely not restricted to the project area.

The three fauna habitat types, defined by BCE (2021), could potentially support populations of conservation significant fauna in the project area. The Short-tailed mouse, assessed to be resident by BCE (2021), shows a preference for sandy clay soils supporting a variety of vegetation types across its range (Van Dyck *et. al.* 2012). The closest record of this species is 2 km south-west of the project area from 2016 (DBCA 2007-2021). *Lerista planiventralis maryani*, also assessed to be resident by BCE (2021), shows a preference for sand dunes, specifically around the coast (Wilson and Swan 2021). The project area is less than 10 km south of the coast. Both species are considered likely to utilise the project area, however the habitat types they would occur in (i.e., specifically VSA1: Undulating dunes and VSA2: Sandy loam flats) are not restricted to the project area.

The Northern Quoll, assessed as having a high likelihood to occur by Ecoscape (2019), occupies a diverse range of habitats including rocky areas, eucalypt forest and woodlands, shrubland and grassland (TSSC 2005). The closest record of this species is 7 km west of the project area from 2013 (DBCA 2007-2021). This species could potentially utilise all three fauna habitat types in the project area for foraging and traversing, and termite mounds for denning purposes. All three habitat types are not restricted to the project area.

The Brush-tailed mulgara, assessed to be a regular visitor by BCE (2021), is found on dunes or in mature spinifex grasslands on sandy loam soils (Van Dyck *et. al.* 2012). The closest record of this species is over 80 km south from 2006 (DBCA 2007-2021). The Pilbara Olive Python, assessed to be a regular visitor by BCE (2021), prefers deep gorges and water holes in the range of the Pilbara region (DEWHA 2008). Most records of this species are known from over 90 km east of the project area (DBCA 2007 – 2021). Based on previous record locations and fauna habitat within the project area, both species are considered unlikely to utilise the project area, but rather occasionally pass through.

Limited information is known about the Night Parrot, and it is only known from a very small number of records (TSSC 2016). There are no recent or historical records in the Onslow area, despite multiple past surveys. This species is therefore considered unlikely to occur in the project area.

Migratory waterbirds may occur across a range of habitats throughout Australia, including wetlands, coasts, rivers, lakes and mudflats. These species were considered to be irregular visitors by BCE (2021), as the claypan habitat type is comprised of coarse, sandy material unlikely to support an abundance of aquatic invertebrates. In flood, the project area may support small numbers of waterbirds, however this habitat type is not restricted to the project area and is more abundant to the north.

The Barn Swallow, assessed to be a regular visitor by BCE (2021), appears to regularly visit the Onslow townsite in summer (BCE 2021). Individuals may fly over the project area but not solely rely on it.

The project area is situated within the bounds of several larger fauna surveys. Similar terrestrial fauna species have been recorded across these surveys. The current survey recorded 66 terrestrial fauna species, which is comparable to other surveys given the smaller size (e.g., 77 fauna species recorded by Thompson [2020], and 67 species recorded by BCE [2018]). Based on the current survey and previous surveys in the area, the known fauna assemblage for the project area is likely to be complete and would only be limited by additional fauna habitats (i.e., major drainage lines and rocky habitats) which do not occur in the project area.

#### 6. References

Bancroft, W. J. and Bamford, M. J. (Bamford Consulting Ecologists [BCE]). 2021. *Onslow Rare Earths Plant Fauna Assessment*. Prepared for Hastings Technology Metals Ltd.

Bancroft, W. J. and Bamford, M. J. (Bamford Consulting Ecologists [BCE]). 2018. *ANSIA Stage 2 Fauna Assessment*. Unpublished report prepared for RPS Australia Asia Pacific, on behalf of LandCorp, by M. J. and A. R. Bamford Consulting Ecologists, Kingsley, Western Australia.

Bamford, M. J., Cherriman, S. and Bamford, A. R. (Bamford Consulting Ecologists [BCE]). 2009. *Survey for Migratory Waterbirds in the Wheatstone LNG Project Area, November 2008 and March 2009.* Techical Appendix K1 within the Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Review and Management Programme for the Proposed Wheatstone Project prepared for URS Australia Pty Ltd on behalf of Chevron Australia Pty Ltd, by M. J. and A. R. Bamford Consulting Ecologists, Kingsley, WA.

Bancroft, W. J. and Bamford, M. J. (Bamford Consulting Ecologists [BCE]). 2005a. *Assessment of the Terrestrial Fauna of the Proposed BHP Billiton Onslow LNG Plant.* Unpubl. report to URS Australia Pty Ltd, East Perth. M. J. and A. R. Bamford Consulting Ecologists, Kingsley, Western Australia.

Bancroft, W. J. and Bamford, M. J. 2005b. (Bamford Consulting Ecologists [BCE]). Repeat survey for terrestrial fauna at the proposed site of the BHP Billiton Onslow LNG Plant, Onslow, September 2005. Unpubl. report to URS Australia Pty Ltd, East Perth. M. J. and A. R. Bamford Consulting Ecologists, Kingsley, Western Australia.

Beard, JS (1980). A new phytogeographic map of Western Australia, Western Australian Herbarium Research Notes 3: 37–58.

Biota. 2010a. Wheatstone Project Flora and Fauna Assessment Addendum. Unpublished report prepared for URS Australia by Biota Environmental Sciences, Leederville, Western Australia.

Biota. 2010b. Wheatstone Project Terrestrial Fauna Survey. Biota Environmental Sciences, Leederville, Western Australia.

Bureau of Meteorology (BoM). 2021. *Climate Data Online*. Available from: <a href="http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/">http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/</a>.

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE). 2021. *Australia's bioregions (IBRA)*. Available from: <a href="https://www.environment.gov.au/land/nrs/science/ibra">https://www.environment.gov.au/land/nrs/science/ibra</a>.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA). 2007 - 2021. *NatureMap*. Department of Parks and Wildlife and WA Museum. Available from: <a href="http://naturemap.dpaw.wa.gov.au/default.aspx">http://naturemap.dpaw.wa.gov.au/default.aspx</a>.

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA). 2019. DBCA *Statewide Vegetation Statistics*. Available from: <a href="https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/dbca-statewide-vegetation-statistics">https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/dbca-statewide-vegetation-statistics</a>.

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC). 2018a. *Standard Operating Procedure: Aluminium box traps for capture of terrestrial vertebrates.* 

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC). 2018b. *Standard Operating Procedure: Cage traps for live capture of terrestrial vertebrates.* 

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC). 2018c. Standard Operating Procedure: Dry pitfall trapping for vertebrates.

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC). 2018d. *Standard Operating Procedure: Funnel trapping for terrestrial fauna*.

Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC). 2017. Standard Operating Procedure: Animal handling and restraint using soft containment.

Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts (DEWHA). 2008. *Approved Conservation Advice for Liasis olivaceus barroni (Olive Python - Pilbara subspecies*). Canberra. Available from: <a href="http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/66699-conservation-advice.pdf">http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/66699-conservation-advice.pdf</a>.

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD). 2021. *Soil Landscape Mapping – Systems*. Available from: https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/soil-landscape-mapping-systems.

Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD). 2019. *Pre-European Vegetation*. Available from: <a href="https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/pre-european-dpird-006">https://catalogue.data.wa.gov.au/dataset/pre-european-dpird-006</a>.

Ecoscape. 2019. Onslow Reconnaissance Flora and Fauna Surveys – Dry Season. Prepared for Advisian.

ENV. 2012a. Ashburton North Strategic Industrial Area Biological Desktop Review. Unpublished report prepared for TPG, on hehalf of Landcorp, by ENV Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia.

ENV. 2012b. Ashburton North Strategic Industrial Area Fauna Assessment. Unpublished report prepared for The Planning Group by ENV Australia Pty Ltd, Perth, Western Australia.

Environmental Protection Authority (EPA). 2020. *Technical Guidance: Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna Surveys for Environmental Impact Assessment*. Perth, Western Australia.

Kendrick, P. G. and Mau, R. 2002. *Carnarvon 1 (CAR1 - Cape Range subregion)*. *In: CALM (Ed.) A Biodiversity Audit of Western Australia's 53 Biogeographical Subregions in 2002, pp. 69-86*. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Perth, Western Australia.

Morcombe, M. 2020. The Michael Morcombe eGuide to Australian Birds. Last updated in 2020.

Sanders, M. 2021. Photographic field guide to Australian frogs. CSIRO Publishing.

Thompson, G. G. and Thompson, S. A. 2020. A comparison of an environmental impact assessment (EIA) vertebrate fauna survey with a post-approval fauna salvage program: consequences of not adhering to EIA survey guidelines, a Western Australian example. Pacific Conservation Biology.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (2016). *Conservation Advice Pezoporus occidentalis night parrot*. Canberra: Department of the Environment. Available from: <a href="http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/59350-conservation-advice-15072016.pdf">http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/59350-conservation-advice-15072016.pdf</a>.

Threatened Species Scientific Committee (TSSC). 2005. *Commonwealth Listing Advice on Northern Quoll (Dasyurus hallucatus)*. Available from: <a href="http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/dasyurus-hallucatus.html">http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/dasyurus-hallucatus.html</a>.

Van Dyck, S. Gynther, I. and Baker, A. 2012. *Field Companion to the Mammals of Australia*. New Holland Publishers.

Western Australian Museum (WAM). 2021. *Checklist of the Terrestrial Vertebrate Fauna of Western Australia*. Available from: <a href="http://museum.wa.gov.au/research/departments/terrestrial-zoology/checklist-terrestrial-vertebrate-fauna-western-australia">http://museum.wa.gov.au/research/departments/terrestrial-zoology/checklist-terrestrial-vertebrate-fauna-western-australia</a>. Updated June 2021.

Wilson, S. and Swan, G. 2021. *A Complete Guide to Reptiles of Australia*. New Holland Publishers. Sixth edition.

## Appendix A Framework for conservation significant flora and fauna ranking

### CATEGORIES OF THREATENED SPECIES UNDER THE ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION AND BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION ACT 1999 (EPBC ACT)

Threatened fauna and flora may be listed in any one of the following categories as defined in Section 179 of the EPBC Act. Species listed as 'conservation dependent' and 'extinct' are not Matters of National Environmental Significance and therefore do not trigger the EPBC Act.

Category	Definition
Extinct (EX)	There is no reasonable doubt that the last member of the species has died.
Extinct in the Wild (EW)	Taxa known to survive only in captivity or as a naturalised population well outside its past range; or taxa has not been recorded in its known and/or expected habitat at appropriate seasons, anywhere in its past range, despite exhaustive surveys over a time frame appropriate to its life cycle and form.
Critically Endangered (CE)	Taxa considered to be facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild.
Endangered (EN)	Taxa considered to be facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild.
Vulnerable (VU)	Taxa considered to be facing a high risk of extinction in the wild.
Near Threatened (NT)	Taxa has been evaluated against the criteria but does not qualify for Critically Endangered, Endangered or Vulnerable now, but is close to qualifying for or is likely to qualify for a threatened category in the near future.
Least Concern (LC)  Taxa has been evaluated against the criteria and does not qualify for Critically End Endangered, Vulnerable or Near Threatened. Widespread and abundant taxa are i in this category.	
Data Deficient (DD)  There is inadequate information to make a direct, or indirect, assessment of tall extinction based on its distribution and/or population status.	
Not Evaluated (NE)	Taxa has not yet been evaluated against the criteria.
Migratory (M)	Not an IUCN category.  Species are defined as migratory if they are listed in an international agreement approved by the Commonwealth Environment Minister, including:  • the Bonn Convention (Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animal) for which Australia is a range state;  • the agreement between the Government of Australian and the Government of the People's Republic of China for the Protection of Migratory Birds and their environment (CAMBA);  • the agreement between the Government of Japan and the Government of Australia for the Protection of Migratory Birds and Birds in Danger of Extinction and their Environment (JAMBA); or  • the agreement between Australia and the Republic of Korea to develop a bilateral migratory bird agreement similar to the JAMBA and CAMBA in respect to migratory bird conservation and provides a basis for collaboration on the protection of migratory shorebirds and their habitat (ROKAMBA).

#### **CONSERVATION CODES FOR WESTERN AUSTRALIA FLORA AND FAUNA**

The Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 and the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 have been transitioned under regulations 170, 171 and 172 of the Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2018 to be the lists of Threatened, Extinct and Specially Protected species under Part 2 of the *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act).

Specially protected fauna or flora are species which have been adequately searched for and are deemed to be, in the wild, threatened, extinct or in need of special protection, and have been gazetted as such.

#### Threatened species (T)

Listed by order of the Minister as Threatened in the category of critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable under section 19(1), or is a rediscovered species to be regarded as threatened species under section 26(2) of the BC Act.

Threatened fauna is that subset of 'Specially Protected Fauna' listed under schedules 1 to 3 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for Threatened Fauna.

The assessment of the conservation status of these species is based on their national extent and ranked according to their level of threat using IUCN Red List categories and criteria as detailed below.

Category	Code	Description
Critically Endangered species	CR	Threatened species considered to be "facing an extremely high risk of extinction in the wild in the immediate future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".  Listed as critically endangered under section 19(1)(a) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 20 and the ministerial guidelines. Published under schedule 1 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for critically endangered fauna or the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for critically endangered flora.
Endangered species	EN	Threatened species considered to be "facing a very high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".  Listed as endangered under section 19(1)(b) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 21 and the ministerial guidelines.  Published under schedule 2 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for endangered fauna or the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for endangered flora.
Vulnerable species	VU	Threatened species considered to be "facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future, as determined in accordance with criteria set out in the ministerial guidelines".  Listed as vulnerable under section 19(1)(c) of the BC Act in accordance with the criteria set out in section 22 and the ministerial guidelines.  Published under schedule 3 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018 for vulnerable fauna or the Wildlife Conservation (Rare Flora) Notice 2018 for vulnerable flora.

#### **Specially protected species**

Listed by order of the Minister as specially protected under section 13(1) of the BC Act. Meeting one or more of the following categories: species of special conservation interest; migratory species; cetaceans; species subject to international agreement; or species otherwise in need of special protection.

Species that are listed as threatened species (critically endangered, endangered or vulnerable) or extinct species under the BC Act cannot also be listed as Specially Protected species.

Category	Code	Description
Migratory species	MI	Fauna that periodically or occasionally visit Australia or an external Territory or the exclusive economic zone; or the species is subject of an international agreement that relates to the protection of migratory species and that binds the Commonwealth; and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 15 of the BC Act). Includes birds that are subject to an agreement between the government of Australia and the governments of Japan (JAMBA), China (CAMBA) and The Republic of Korea (ROKAMBA), and fauna subject to the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (Bonn Convention), an environmental treaty under the United Nations Environment Program. Migratory species listed under the BC Act are a subset of the migratory animals that are known to visit Western Australia, protected under the international agreements or treaties, excluding species that are listed as Threatened species.  Published as migratory birds protected under an international agreement under schedule 5 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018.
Species of special conservation interest (conservation dependent fauna)	CD	Fauna of special conservation need being species dependent on ongoing conservation intervention to prevent it becoming eligible for listing as threatened, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 14 of the BC Act).  Published as conservation dependent fauna under schedule 6 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018.
Other specially protected species	os	Fauna otherwise in need of special protection to ensure their conservation, and listing is otherwise in accordance with the ministerial guidelines (section 18 of the BC Act).  Published as other specially protected fauna under schedule 7 of the Wildlife Conservation (Specially Protected Fauna) Notice 2018.


#### Priority species (P)

Possibly threatened species that do not meet survey criteria, or are otherwise data deficient, are added to the Priority Fauna or Priority Flora Lists under Priorities 1, 2 or 3. These three categories are ranked in order of priority for survey and evaluation of conservation status so that consideration can be given to their declaration as threatened fauna or flora.

Species that are adequately known, are rare but not threatened, or meet criteria for near threatened, or that have been recently removed from the threatened species or other specially protected fauna lists for other than taxonomic reasons, are placed in Priority 4. These species require regular monitoring.

Assessment of Priority codes is based on the Western Australian distribution of the species, unless the distribution in WA is part of a contiguous population extending into adjacent States, as defined by the known spread of locations.

Category	Code	Definition
Priority 1	P1	Poorly-known species  Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less) which are potentially at risk. All occurrences are either: very small; or on lands not managed for conservation, e.g. agricultural or pastoral lands, urban areas, road and rail reserves, gravel reserves and active mineral leases; or otherwise under threat of habitat destruction or degradation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under immediate threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey.
Priority 2	P2	Poorly-known species  Species that are known from one or a few locations (generally five or less), some of which are on lands managed primarily for nature conservation, e.g. national parks, conservation parks, nature reserves and other lands with secure tenure being managed for conservation. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from one or more locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and appear to be under threat from known threatening processes. Such species are in urgent need of further survey.
Priority 3	P3	Poorly-known species  Species that are known from several locations, and the species does not appear to be under imminent threat, or from few but widespread locations with either large population size or significant remaining areas of apparently suitable habitat, much of it not under imminent threat. Species may be included if they are comparatively well known from several locations but do not meet adequacy of survey requirements and known threatening processes exist that could affect them. Such species are in need of further survey.
Priority 4	P4	Rare, Near Threatened and other species in need of monitoring  (a) Rare. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed, or for which sufficient knowledge is available, and that are considered not currently threatened or in need of special protection but could be if present circumstances change. These species are usually represented on conservation lands.  (b) Near Threatened. Species that are considered to have been adequately surveyed and that are close to qualifying for vulnerable but are not listed as Conservation Dependent.  (c) Species that have been removed from the list of threatened species during the past five years for reasons other than taxonomy.



25

#### Appendix C Fauna trap locations

Trap name	Easting	Northing	Sampling method
1B 01	299366	7590906	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1B 02	299382	7590908	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1B 03	299396	7590913	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1B 04	299410	7590916	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1B 05	299420	7590920	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1B 06	299431	7590923	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1B 07	299444	7590927	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1B 08	299460	7590931	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1B 09	299473	7590934	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1B 10	299486	7590932	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
1E 01	299481	7590949	Small aluminium box trap
1E 02	299464	7590953	Small aluminium box trap
1E 03	299450	7590961	Small aluminium box trap
1E 04	299427	7590956	Small aluminium box trap
1E 05	299414	7590961	Small aluminium box trap
1E 06	299399	7590960	Small aluminium box trap
1E 07	299375	7590965	Small aluminium box trap
1E 08	299352	7590962	Small aluminium box trap
1E 09	299326	7590963	Small aluminium box trap
1E 10	299318	7590931	Small aluminium box trap
1E 11	299330	7590900	Small aluminium box trap
1E 12	299343	7590887	Small aluminium box trap
1E 13	299362	7590871	Small aluminium box trap
1E 14	299387	7590868	Small aluminium box trap
1E 15	299398	7590873	Small aluminium box trap
1E 16	299412	7590880	Small aluminium box trap
1E 17	299430	7590883	Small aluminium box trap
1E 18	299448	7590889	Small aluminium box trap
1E 19	299465	7590890	Small aluminium box trap
1E 20	299483	7590887	Small aluminium box trap
1E 21	299497	7590881	Small aluminium box trap
1E 22	299506	7590909	Small aluminium box trap
1E 23	299491	7590933	Small aluminium box trap
1C 01	299325	7590969	Cage trap
1C 02	299498	7590881	Cage trap

Trap name	Easting	Northing	Sampling method
2B 01	300150	7591045	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2B 02	300149	7591036	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2B 03	300148	7591023	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2B 04	300147	7591014	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2B 05	300146	7591001	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2B 06	300145	7590989	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2B 07	300142	7590973	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2B 08	300140	7590959	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2B 09	300140	7590945	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2B 10	300133	7590929	Pitfall trap and funnel traps
2E 01	300100	7590941	Small aluminium box trap
2E 02	300097	7590962	Small aluminium box trap
2E 03	300096	7590976	Small aluminium box trap
2E 04	300091	7590998	Small aluminium box trap
2E 05	300098	7591010	Small aluminium box trap
2E 06	300098	7591027	Small aluminium box trap
2E 07	300101	7591045	Small aluminium box trap
2E 08	300095	7591062	Small aluminium box trap
2E 09	300096	7591073	Small aluminium box trap
2E 10	300110	7591083	Small aluminium box trap
2E 11	300140	7591093	Small aluminium box trap
2E 12	300166	7591094	Small aluminium box trap
2E 13	300197	7591090	Small aluminium box trap
2E 14	300186	7591067	Small aluminium box trap
2E 15	300180	7591036	Small aluminium box trap
2E 16	300176	7591026	Small aluminium box trap
2E 17	300171	7591009	Small aluminium box trap
2E 18	300169	7590989	Small aluminium box trap
2E 19	300163	7590970	Small aluminium box trap
2E 20	300165	7590950	Small aluminium box trap
2E 21	300154	7590939	Small aluminium box trap
2E 22	300130	7590920	Small aluminium box trap
2E 23	300117	7590916	Small aluminium box trap
2C 01	300123	7590981	Cage trap
2C 02	300196	7591090	Cage trap

28

# Appendix D Fauna species list

			Conservation status					Previou	Previous studies	Ñ				
Family	Species	Common name	EPBC Act	∀1∀	BCE-(5002)	BCE-(5009)	BCE-(5018)	Biota (2010)	ENA-(2012)	Maturemap	(0Z0Z)-uosdmoqL	BCE (5051)	Ecoscape (2019)	This survey
Frogs														
Pelodryadidae	Cyclorana maini	Sheep frog		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			
Pelodryadidae	Cylorana occidentalis	Western Water-holding frog								×	×		×	
Pelodryadidae	Litoria caerulea	Green Tree Frog								×				
Pelodryadidae	Litoria rubella	Little Red Tree Frog		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			
Limnodynastidae	Neobatrachus aquilonius	Northern Burrowing Frog		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			×
Limnodynastidae	Neobatrachus fulvus	Tawny Trilling Frog						×		×	×			
Limnodynastidae	Notaden nichollsi	Desert Spadefoot		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			×
Limnodynastidae	Platyplectrum spenceri	Centralian Burrowing Frog			×									
Reptiles														
Carphodactylidae	Nephrurus levis occidentalis			×	×			×	×	×	×			
Diplodactylidae	Crenadactylus occidentalis	Western Clawless Gecko			×	×								
Diplodactylidae	Crenadactylus pilbarensis	Pilbara Clawless Gecko			×	×								

29

Principal   Species   Common name   Common				Conservation status					Previ	Previous studies	ies			
Opploadactyus biybara         Western Fat-tailed Gecko         X <th></th> <th>Species</th> <th>Common name</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>BCE-(5002)</th> <th>BCE-(5009)</th> <th>BCE-(5018)</th> <th>(0102) stoi8</th> <th>ENA-(2012)</th> <th>Naturemap</th> <th>(0202)-nosdmodT</th> <th>Fcoscape (2019)</th> <th>This survey</th>		Species	Common name			BCE-(5002)	BCE-(5009)	BCE-(5018)	(0102) stoi8	ENA-(2012)	Naturemap	(0202)-nosdmodT	Fcoscape (2019)	This survey
Diplodactylus pulcher.         X	ae	Diplodactylus bilybara	Western Fat-tailed Gecko		×							×	×	
te         Lucosium stenodactyus         Western Baaked Gecko         X	lae	Diplodactylus pulcher			×				×	×	×			
ee         Rhynchoedura onnata         Western Beaked Gecko         X	dae	Lucasium stenodactylus	Western Sandplain Gecko		×				×	×	×	×		
be         Strophrurs francher         X	dae	Rhynchoedura ornata	Western Beaked Gecko		×				×	×	×			
se Strophurus strophurus strophurus       X	dae	Strophurus jeanae			×	×	×		×	×	×	×		
Gehyra pilbara         X	dae	Strophurus strophurus			×	×			×	×	×	×		
Gehyra pilbara       X		Gehyra crypta						×						
Gehyra variegata       X		Gehyra pilbara			×	×			×	×	×	×	×	×
Gehyra variegata       X		Gehyra purpurascens			×				×	×	×			
Heteronotia binoei         Bynoe's Gecko         X <th< td=""><td></td><td>Gehyra variegata</td><td></td><td></td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td></td><td></td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td></td></th<>		Gehyra variegata			×	×			×	×	×	×	×	
Delma borea         X <th< td=""><td></td><td>Heteronotia binoei</td><td>Bynoe's Gecko</td><td></td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td></td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td></td></th<>		Heteronotia binoei	Bynoe's Gecko		×	×	×		×	×	×	×	×	
Delma butleri         X         <	Ð	Delma borea				×	×							
Delma nasuta         X <t< td=""><td>Pygopodidae</td><td>Delma butleri</td><td></td><td></td><td>×</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>×</td><td></td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Pygopodidae	Delma butleri			×				×		×	×		
Delma pax       X	Pygopodidae	Delma nasuta				×	×		×	×	×			
Delma tincta  Lialis burtonis  Burton's Legless-Lizard  N  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X  X	Pygopodidae	Delma рах				×	×		×	×				
Lialis burtonis     Burton's Legless-Lizard     X <t< td=""><td>a</td><td>Delma tincta</td><td></td><td></td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td></td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	a	Delma tincta			×	×	×		×	×	×	×		
Pygopus nigriceps Hooded Scaleyfoot X X X X X X X X	a	Lialis burtonis	Burton's Legless-Lizard		×	×	×		×	×	×	×		
	Pygopodidae	Pygopus nigriceps	Hooded Scaleyfoot		×	×	×		×	×	×	×		

PTY
ΥIN
USTRA
LA
OGICA
ECO L
0

			Conservation status					Previo	Previous studies	es				
Family	Species	Соттоп пате	EPBC Act	∀⊺∀	BCE-(5002)	BCE-(5009)	BCE-(5018)	Biota (2010)	ENA-(2012)	Maturemap	(0Z0Z)-uosdwoyL	BCE (5051)	Ecoscape (2019)	This survey
Agamidae	Ctenophorus clayi	Collared Dragon			×									
Agamidae	Ctenophorus femoralis	Dune Dragon		×	×	×		×	×	×	×		×	
Agamidae	Ctenophorus isolepis isolepis	Central Military Dragon		×	×	×		×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Agamidae	Ctenophorus nuchalis	Central Netted Dragon		×	×	×		×	×	×	×		×	
Agamidae	Ctenophorus reticulatus	Western Netted Dragon			×	×			×					
Agamidae	Ctenophorus rubens	Red Dragon		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			
Agamidae	Diporiphora adductus	Carnarvon Dragon		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			
Agamidae	Gowidon longirostris	Long-nosed Dragon			×			×	×	×	×			
Agamidae	Lophognathus gilberti	Ta-Ta or Gilbert's Dragon									×			
Agamidae	Pogona minor minima	Dwarf Bearded Dragon											×	
Agamidae	Pogona minor minor	Western Bearded Dragon		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			
Scincidae	Tympanocryptis cephalus	Coastal Pebble-mimic Dragon			×			×						
Scincidae	Carlia munda				×	×								
Scincidae	Cryptoblepharus plagiocephalus				×	×								
Scincidae	Ctenotus calurus							×	×	×	×			
Scincidae	Ctenotus duricola				×	×		×	×					

Onslow Rare Earth Plant Detailed Fauna Assessment | Hastings Technology Metals Ltd

			Conservation status					Previou	Previous studies					
Family	Species	Common name	EPBC Act	∀1∀	BCE-(5002)	BCE-(5009)	BCE-(5018)	Biota (2010)	Matureman ENV-(2012)	Naturemap	Thompson-(2020)	BCE (2021)	Ecoscape (2019)	This survey
Scincidae	Lerista clara			×				×	×	×	×			
Scincidae	Lerista elegans			×	×	×		×	×	×				
Scincidae	Lerista muelleri										×			
Scincidae	Lerista onsloviana			×	×			×	×	×	×			
Scincidae	Lerista planiventralis maryani		P1	×	×	×		×	^ ×	×				
Scincidae	Lerista uniduo			×				×	×	×				
Scincidae	Menetia greyii	Dwarf Skink		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			
Scincidae	Morethia ruficauda exquisita			×	×	×		×	^ ×	×				
Scincidae	Notoscincus ornatus ornatus				×	×		×						
Scincidae	Tiliqua multifasciata	Central Blue-tongue		×	×	×		×	×	×	×		×	
Varanidae	Varanus acanthurus	Spiny-tailed Goanna			×	×		×	^	×	×			
Varanidae	Varanus brevicauda	Short-tailed Pygmy Goanna		×	×	×		×	^ ×	×	×			
Varanidae	Varanus caudolineatus							×	^ ×	×	×			
Varanidae	Varanus eremius	Pygmy Desert Goanna		×	×	×	×	×	^ ×	×	×	×	^	×
Varanidae	Varanus gouldii	Bungarra or Sand Goanna		×	×	×		×	×	×	×		×	

			Conservation status					Previou	Previous studies	S.				
Family	Species	Common name	EPBC Act	\\ \\	BCE-(5002)	BCE-(5009)	BCE-(5018)	(2010) Bioia	ENA-(2012)	Naturemap	(0Z0Z)-uosdmo4T	BCE (5051)	Ecoscape (2019)	Yevrus sidT
Varanidae	Varanus panoptes rubidus	Spotted Monitor			×	×		×	×	×	×	×		
Varanidae	Varanus tristis tristis	Tree Goanna		×	×	×		×	×	×				
Typhlopidae	Anilios ammodytes			×	×			×	×		×			
ТурһІорідае	Anilios grypus			×	×			×	×		×			
Typhlopidae	Anilios hamatus			×	×			×	×		×			
Typhlopidae	Anilios pilbarensis										×			
Pythonidae	Antaresia perthensis	Pygmy Python			×	×			×					
Pythonidae	Antaresia stimsoni stimsoni	Stimson's Python		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			
Pythonidae	Aspidites melanocephalus	Black-headed Python			×	×		×	×	×	×			
Pythonidae	Liasis olivaceus barroni	Pilbara Olive Python	N NA	۸n				×		×				
Elapidae	Acanthophis pyrrhus	Desert Death Adder									×			
Elapidae	Acanthophis wellsi	Pilbara Death Adder			×	×								
Elapidae	Brachyurophis approximans				×	×								
Elapidae	Demansia psammophis cupreiceps	Yellow-faced Whipsnake		×	×	×		×	×	×	×			
Elapidae	Demansia rufescens	Rufous Whipsnake			×	×		×						

			Conservation status	-				Previe	Previous studies	ies				
Family	Species	Common name	EPBC Act	BC Act / DBCA	BCE-(5002)	BCE-(5009)	BCE-(5018)	Biota (2010)	ENA-(SOTS)	Naturemap	(0202)-uosdwoy <u>1</u>	BCE (5051)	Ecoscape (2019)	Yevrus sidT
Podargidae	Podargus strigoides	Tawny Frogmouth				×				×				
Caprimulgidae	Eurostopodus argus	Spotted Nightjar		×	×	×			×	×				
Aegothelidae	Aegotheles cristatus	Australian Owlet-nightjar		×		×		×	×	×				×
Apodidae	Apus pacificus	Fork-tailed Swift	Σ	×		×		×	×	×				
Rallidae	Tribonyx ventralis	Black-tailed Native-hen		×		×			×	×				
Gruidae	Antigone rubicunda	Brolga		×		×	×			×				
Burhinidae	Burhinus grallarius	Bush Stone-curlew				×				×				
Recurvirostridae	Cladorhynchus Ieucocephalus	Banded Stilt		×					×	×				
Recurvirostridae	Recurvirostra novaehollandiae	Red-necked Avocet		×					×	×				
Recurvirostridae	Himantopus Ieucocephalus	Pied Stilt		×			×		×	×				
Charadriidae	Pluvialis squatarola	Grey Plover	N	×					×	×				
Charadriidae	Pluvialis fulva	Pacific Golden Plover	M	×					×	×				
Charadriidae	Charadrius ruficapillus	Red-capped Plover		×					×	×			×	
Charadriidae	Charadrius mongolus	Lesser Sand Plover	EN	EN X					×	×				
Charadriidae	Charadrius leschenaultii	Greater Sand Plover	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	X nv					×	×				
Charadriidae	Charadrius veredus	Oriental Plover	Σ	×					×	×				

_	
F	
_	
>	
$\vdash$	
Δ	
◁	
_	7
7	,
$\sim$	
2	
TR	
ISTR	
VIISTR.	
A I ISTR	
ALISTR	
AI AIISTR.	
CAI AIISTR	
TSIIV IV.	
JUNE ALIST	
TSIIV IV.	
TOURING ALIST	
OLOGICAL ALIST	
TOLOGICAL ALIST	
OLOGICAL ALIST	
TOLOGICAL ALIST	

			Conservation status	tion				Prev	Previous studies	lies				
Family	Species	Common name	EPBC Act	BC Act / DBCA	BCE-(5002) ∀F∀	BCE-(5006)	BCE-(5018)	(0102) ejoi8	ENA-(5015)	Naturemap	(0Z0Z)-uosdmo4T	BCE (5051)	Ecoscape (2019)	Yevrus sidT
Charadriidae	Elseyornis melanops	Black-fronted Dotterel			×		×		×	×				
Charadriidae	Vanellus tricolor	Banded Lapwing			×	×								
Charadriidae	Erythrogonys cinctus	Red-kneed Dotterel			×		×		×	×				
Scolopacidae	Numenius phaeopus	Whimbrel	Ξ	Ξ	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Numenius minutus	Little Curlew	Ξ	Ξ	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Numenius madagascariensis	Eastern Curlew	CR	R	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Limosa lapponica	Bar-tailed Godwit	≅	Ξ	×		×		×	×				
Scolopacidae	Limosa limosa	Black-tailed Godwit	Ξ	Ξ	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Calidris tenuirostris	Great Knot	CR	8	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Calidris canutus	Red Knot	E	N EN	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Calidris falcinellus	Broad-billed Sandpiper	Ξ	Ξ	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Calidris acuminata	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper	≅	Ξ	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Calidris ferruginea	Curlew Sandpiper	S	R	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Calidris subminuta	Long-toed Stint	≅	Ξ	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Calidris ruficollis	Red-necked Stint	≅	Ξ	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Calidris melanotos	Pectoral Sandpiper	≅	Ξ	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Xenus cinereus	Terek Sandpiper	≅	Ξ	×				×	×				
Scolopacidae	Actitis hypoleucos	Common Sandpiper	Ξ	Ξ	×				×	×			×	

Onslow Rare Earth Plant Detailed Fauna Assessment | Hastings Technology Metals Ltd

			Conservation status	ation					Previo	Previous studies	sa				
Family	Species	Common name	£PBC Act	BC Act / DBCA	∀1∀	BCE-(5002)	BCE-(5009)	BCE-(5018)	Biota (2010)	ENA-(SOTS)	Naturemap	(0Z0Z)-nosqmodT	BCE (2021)	Ecoscape (2019)	This survey
Scolopacidae	Tringa nebularia	Common Greenshank	Σ	Ξ	×					×	×				
Scolopacidae	Tringa glareola	Wood Sandpiper	Ξ	Ξ	×					×	×				
Scolopacidae	Tringa stagnatilis	Marsh Sandpiper	Ξ	Ξ	×					×	×				
Scolopacidae	Phalaropus lobatus	Red-necked Phalarope	Ξ	Ξ	×					×	×				
Turnicidae	Turnix velox	Little Button-quail			×		×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×
Glareolidae	Stiltia isabella	Australian Pratincole			×		×								
Glareolidae	Glareola maldivarum	Oriental Pratincole	Ξ	Ξ	×	×	×			×	×				
Laridae	Larus novaehollandiae	Silver Gull			×	×	×	×	×	×	×				×
Laridae	Gelochelidon nilotica	Common Gull-billed Tern	Ξ	Ξ	×	×	×		×	×	×			×	
Laridae	Hydroprogne caspia	Caspian Tern	Ξ	Ξ	×	×	×		×	×	×				
Laridae	Chlidonias hybrida	Whiskered Tern			×	×	×			×	×				
Laridae	Chlidonias leucopterus	White-winged Black Tern	Σ	Ξ	×	×	×			×	×				
Ciconiidae	Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus	Black-necked Stork			×	×	×			×	×				
Ardeidae	Bubulcus coromandus	Cattle Egret			×		×			×					
Ardeidae	Ardea pacifica	White-necked Heron			×	×	×	×		×	×				×
Ardeidae	Ardea alba	Great Egret			×	×	×	×		×	×				
Ardeidae	Ardea intermedia	Intermediate Egret			×	×	×			×	×				

CLI
, PTY
۸LIA
AUSTR/
ICAL
LOG
ECO
0

			Conservation status	noi				Pre	Previous studies	dies				
Family	Species	Common name	EPBC Act	BC Act / DBCA	BCE-(5002) ∀F∀	BCE-(5009)	BCE-(5018)	(O1OS) etoi8	ENN-(2012)	Naturemap	(0Z0Z)-nosdmodT	BCE (5051)	Ecoscape (2019)	This survey
Accipitridae	Haliastur sphenurus	Whistling Kite			×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×
Accipitridae	Milvus migrans	Black Kite			× ×	×	×	×	×	×				
Tytonidae	Tyto javanica	Barn Owl			×	×		×	×	×				
Strigidae	Ninox connivens	Barking Owl			×	×			×					
Strigidae	Ninox novaeseelandiae	Southern Boobook			×	×		×	×					
Meropidae	Merops ornatus	Rainbow Bee-eater			× ×	×	×	×	×	×		×		×
Alcedinidae	Todiramphus sanctus	Sacred Kingfisher			× ×	×		×	×	×				
Alcedinidae	Todiramphus pyrrhopygius	Red-backed Kingfisher			× ×	×	×		×	×				
Alcedinidae	Dacelo leachii	Blue-winged Kookaburra			× ×	×		×	×	×				×
Falconidae	Falco cenchroides	Nankeen Kestrel			× ×	×	×	×	×	×		×	×	×
Falconidae	Falco longipennis	Australian Hobby			× ×	×	×	×	×	×				×
Falconidae	Falco berigora	Brown Falcon			× ×	×	×		×	×			×	×
Falconidae	Falco hypoleucos	Grey Falcon	N	ΛN		×								
Falconidae	Falco subniger	Black Falcon			×			×	×	×				
Falconidae	Falco peregrinus	Peregrine Falcon	08	OS	×	×			×	×				
Cacatuidae	Nymphicus hollandicus	Cockatiel			× ×	×		×	×	×				×
Cacatuidae	Eolophus roseicapillus	Galah			× ×	×	×	×	×	×				
Cacatuidae	Cacatua sanguinea	Little Corella			× ×	×	×	×	×	×				

Common name PBC Act
*European Cattle

## Appendix E Raw trapping data

Date	Trap number	Trap type	Scientific name	Common name	Number of individuals
22/06/2021	1B02	Bucket	Sminthopsis youngsoni	Lesser Hairy-footed Dunnart	1
22/06/2021	1B08	Bucket	Ctenotus hanloni		1
22/06/2021	1B08	Bucket	Neobatrachus aquilonius	Northern Burrowing Frog	1
22/06/2021	1B08	Bucket	Notaden nichollsi	Desert Spadefoot	1
22/06/2021	1B09	Bucket	Neobatrachus aquilonius	Northern Burrowing Frog	1
22/06/2021	1B10	Bucket	Neobatrachus aquilonius	Northern Burrowing Frog	1
22/06/2021	1B10	Bucket	Ctenotus hanloni		1
22/06/2021	2B10	Bucket	Notaden nichollsi	Desert Spadefoot	4
22/06/2021	2B08	Bucket	Neobatrachus aquilonius	Northern Burrowing Frog	3
22/06/2021	2B08	Bucket	Ctenophorus isolepis isolepis	Central Military Dragon	1
22/06/2021	2B06-07	Funnel	Ctenotus hanloni		1
22/06/2021	2B06	Bucket	Neobatrachus aquilonius	Northern Burrowing Frog	1
22/06/2021	2B05	Bucket	Neobatrachus aquilonius	Northern Burrowing Frog	1
22/06/2021	2B04	Bucket	Notaden nichollsi	Desert Spadefoot	1
22/06/2021	2B03	Bucket	Notaden nichollsi	Desert Spadefoot	2
22/06/2021	2B02	Bucket	Notaden nichollsi	Desert Spadefoot	1
22/06/2021	2B01-02	Funnel	Turnix velox	Little Button-quail	1
23/06/2021	1B02	Bucket	Varanus eremius	Pygmy Desert Goanna	1
23/06/2021	1B05-06	Funnel	Ctenotus hanloni		1
23/06/2021	2B01-02	Funnel	Turnix velox	Little Button-quail	1
24/06/2021	2B10	Bucket	Ctenotus hanloni		1
24/06/2021	2B03	Bucket	Sminthopsis youngsoni	Lesser Hairy-footed Dunnart	1
24/06/2021	2B03-04	Funnel	Ctenotus pantherinus ocellifer	Leopard Ctenotus	1
24/06/2021	1B04	Bucket	Varanus eremius	Pygmy Desert Goanna	1
25/06/2021	1B05	Bucket	Ctenophorus isolepis isolepis	Central Military Dragon	1
26/06/2021	2B09	Bucket	Sminthopsis youngsoni	Lesser Hairy-footed Dunnart	1
27/06/2021	1B03	Bucket	Varanus eremius	Pygmy Desert Goanna	1
28/06/2021	2B06	Bucket	Notaden nichollsi	Desert Spadefoot	1
28/06/2021	2B01	Bucket	Notaden nichollsi	Desert Spadefoot	1
28/06/2021	1B03	Bucket	Ctenotus hanloni		1
28/06/2021	1B09	Bucket	Ctenotus pantherinus ocellifer	Leopard Ctenotus	1
28/06/2021	1B05	Bucket	Notaden nichollsi	Desert Spadefoot	1
28/06/2021	1B10	Bucket	Ctenotus hanloni		1

© ECO LOGICAL AUSTRALIA PTY LTD 48



